



Transcript, by J. S. Carpenter

[Header] Welcome to another edition of Global Conversations with Regis Tremblay, coming to you from beautiful and historic Yalta in the Crimea of the Russian Federation. Yalta is a city of poets, authors, artists, longtime residents and tourists, located between majestic mountains and the Black Sea.

REGIS TREMBLAY: Welcome to another edition of Global Conversations. My guest tonight is Francis Boyle. In my opinion Francis is the one person with the extensive credentials and personal experiences to be talking about the origins of CoViD-19. His is the one voice the world needs to hear. Francis is an attorney who wrote the Biological Weapons Anti-Terrorism Act of 1989, and the attorney for the Council for Responsible Genetics, in addition he's a lifelong activist who has been supporting the Palestinian cause, as the legal advisor to the Palestinian delegation to the Middle East negotiations in Washington DC in 1991, and he has served as counsel for Bosnia and Herzegovina, and supported of the rights of indigenous people. He is a Professor of International Law at the University of Illinois College of Law and he has served as counsel for Bosnia and Herzegovina, and he is the author of Biowarfare and Terrorism. It is the number one on my top 10 books of all time, that include Daniel Ellsberg's The Domsday Machine and Jim Douglass's book JFK and the Unspeakable.

Francis welcome to the show.

PROF. FRANCIS BOYLE: Well, uh, Regis, thank you very much for having me on, and, uh, Happy Hanukkah, Merry Christmas and Happy Holidays to everyone. And, y'know, before we continue and I'm not here to toot my own horn, but it's important to understand that my Biological Weapons Antiterrorism Act was adopted and approved unanimously by both Houses of the United States Congress and signed into law by President Bush, Sr. So, no one disagreed with me — Republicans, Democrats, even a fairly conservative Republican lawyer like President Bush, Sr.

REGIS TREMBLAY: Okay. So, Francis, explain for me and my viewers what this Biological Weapons Antiterrorism Act of 1989 was all about, how did it come about and what are the consequences for violating it?

PROF. FRANCIS BOYLE: Well, what happened, the Council for Responsible Genetics was founded in Cambridge, Massachusetts in 1983. And it had some of the top Life-Scientists in the world there, MIT, Harvard, Sloan-Kettering, etc. And they asked me to handle their biological warfare work for them. I get, y'know, I have three degrees from Harvard, spent seven years there, and two years teaching at Harvard. And I have friends there in the Life Science Division there at Harvard, so I guess they vouch for me. So I got involved soon thereafter. And at that time one of the major problems that are just come out was that the Reagan administration and his NeoConservatives got involved in the use and abuse of DNA genetic engineering in order to research, develop, manufacture biological warfare weapons that clearly violated the Biological Warfare Convention of 1972. So I decided to do something about it. The Council for Responsible Genetics asked me to give a briefing in Washington, DC on Capitol Hill to members of Congress and their aides about all this. And at the end of it I said we really needed domestic implementing legislation for the Biological Weapons Convention in general, it was required, and also to make it clear it specifically deals with the abuse of DNA genetic engineering to manufacture biological warfare weapons. So then the Council asked me to draft the legislation, which I did and then together we lobbied it through Congress. The earlier history of this can be found in my book *The Future of International Law in American Foreign Policy*. Unfortunately the publisher of *Biowarfare And Terrorism* said well that's ancient history. We're just gonna keep that out of this book. The story really begins there. I guess you can get that earlier book at, uh, Amazon or eBay or something like that.

So we did lobby it through Congress. The Reagan administration fought us tooth and nail, because they knew my legislation was going after what they were doing. And I, in the drafting of the legislation, I fixed the penalty of life imprisonment. I'm a lifelong opponent of the death penalty, so I didn't put the death penalty in there as matter of principle, though the Department of Justice did put a lot of pressure on me to put it in there, but I didn't want any — anything about the death penalty associated with me.

And they came up with all sorts of all bogus arguments — against it, which I had to refute in memoranda of law to Congress. But Bush Sr. came to power, and all the opposition disappeared. And our — my legislation with the support of Council for Responsible Genetics lobbying behind me was passed unanimously by both Houses of the United States Congress and signed into law by President Bush, Sr. So, y'know, it's not easy to get a piece of legislation approved unanimously by both Houses of the US Congress, but I did it.

And there it is. So, y'know, it criminalizes research, development, testing, stockpiling, anything at all to do, like that, with biological weapons, including and especially DNA genetic engineering. At the time of the negotiation of the Biological Weapons Convention they didn't — y'know, DNA genetic engineering was in its infancy, so they didn't specify, although it was clear. So I, in my legislation, I made it crystal clear, this did apply to what the Reaganites were doing, and then, in anticipation of the future I also made it clear that it applied to "synthetic biology" which just recently came — came along. And yes, they're using synthetic biology to develop biological weapons — biological weapons. In the first meeting convention of the so-called synthetic biologists they issued a series of recommendations, and at the top of the list was to repeal my Biological Weapons Antiterrorism Act because synthetic biology was — and biologists were going to use synthetic biology to research, develop, test, stockpile biological weapons. In

**fact the entire field synthetic biology was set up by and financed by the Pentagon's DARPA — Defense Advanced Research Projects — obviously for biological warfare purposes.**

So what — when we're reading about all the miracles of synthetic biology, we have to understand that the Pentagon and DARPA are behind them. And originally behind them for biowarfare purposes. There could be some legitimate reasons for synthetic biology, although the — the side effects so, far certainly in the treatment of human beings have been negative and adverse. So we have to understand that background about synthetic biology, too.

REGIS TREMBLAY: Francis, you have said that CoViD-19 is an offensive biological weapon that leaked out of China's Wuhan BSL4 lab. Explain what you mean by that, and please also explain, "What is a BSL4 lab, and are there others in the US and around the world?"

PROF. FRANCIS BOYLE: Sure. Well, when the first reports of a pneumonia-type disease emerged in China I was writing a book on sabbatical. So I wasn't really paying attention to it. Usually as part of my biowarfare activities I do monitor unusual outbreaks of illnesses around the world, to try to decide, "well, is this natural, or, y'know, is it biological warfare?" So it was only, I believe, January 17 that I saw a report saying that there was a strange pneumonia-like illness that had put someone in the hospital in Wuhan, China. So I said to some of my friends who are involved in biological warfare, "well, the question is, is there a BSL4 here in Wuhan?" And I was getting ready to start teaching the following Monday — Tuesday after the King holiday — so I wasn't really able to research this problem until the morning of January 24 and sure enough there was a BSL4 right there in Wuhan, China.

So later that morning I put out a worldwide alert on my Internet sources that this had to come out of the Wuhan BSL4, it was gain-of-function DNA genetic engineering, it was existentially dangerous and we needed to shut down all these BSL3's and BSL4's immediately, which I been saying, y'know, for many years. They — they — these were a catastrophe waiting to happen. Right? It happened. So I gave an interview that — later that day and it went viral all over the world.

And what was quite interesting then was that I was attacked by all these so-called Life Scientists who work at BSL3's and BSL4's. And that later came out: it was organized by this fellow — [Peter] Daszak — Ecohealth Alliance, in [the] Lancet and he apparently put together all his list of biowarfare people, drafted the letter, they sent it out. So I spent the next, y'know, months or so just repudiating all these are biowarfare people attacking me. And it was very easy because if you did a little research on where they were working, they were all working at BSL3's and up to their eyeballs in every type of hideous Nazi biological warfare work you could possibly imagine. And so of course they were attacking me. And later on all this was — was exposed. So it was pretty easy to discredit them.

These BSL3's, BSL4's serve no legitimate scientific or medical purpose. They are there specifically to research, develop and test, stockpile biological warfare agents, to develop them. And that's why they're there. As of 2015, if you do research on the Internet, you'll see an extended interview I gave to an investigative journalist friend of mine, Sherwood Ross, updating biowarfare and terrorism. And at that point there were 13,000 what I call "Death Scientists" involved in this type of work, and I think that there might've been at that point 400 BSL3's here in the United States. As last I saw there were 12

BSL4's. BSL4's are like Fort Detrick. Indeed, the Wuhan BSL4 is China's first Fort Detrick, and at Fort Detrick, Wuhan other BSL4s, it's so dangerous what they're doing, that they have to wear moon suits and they have portable air supplies you've probably seen that in movies and things of that nature. And all these BSL4s they do that because they aerosolize the biological warfare weapons they develop in order to deliver to human beings. That's how it's delivered. We breathe it. They have to aerosolize it. And that's, y'know, what goes on there at Wuhan BSL4 and Fort Detrick.

And in addition at Wuhan BSL4, **on their webpage, they stated that they have applied nanotechnology to viruses. Nanotechnology is aerosolization, making it extremely — infinite** [he means "infinitesimal" — JSC] **so that it could travel on the air — in the air, above the air. And we can all breathe it. So, aerosolization at a BSL4 is always a tip off of a biological weapon itself, because normally you don't encounter these types of things in the natural environment. They have to be aerosolized. That's what Fort Detrick does, that's what the Wuhan BSL4 does.**

REGIS TREMBLAY: So, uh —

PROF. FRANCIS BOYLE: So, Regis, I want to make it clear, I am not bashing China, I am not a warmonger, I'm not a NeoCon. Indeed, I studied Chinese civilization at the University of Chicago with the late, great William Hardy McNeill, who's a rival to Toynbee. I have great respect for Chinese civilization going back to 3500 BCE. But I'm also not saying that this was deliberately released. It was a leak. All these BSL3's and BSL4s leak. Fort Detrick leaks. They all leak. That's why I said in the the documentary *Anthrax Wars* as far back as 2009, by Nadler & Cohn — you can find it on the Internet — that was all about leaks at BSL3's and BSL4s. I was an advisor, I appeared on there. And at the very end of the documentary I said, "This is a catastrophe waiting to happen." Well, well it happened in Wuhan. Indeed, the State Department sent a team out there to look into what was going on at the Wuhan BSL4. They reported back that the security and technology were inferior. And obviously something was going to happen. You can read that in the Washington Post. Indeed the so-called, the Madam Shi [Zhengli] there called "the bat queen" she went over to our BSL4 at Galveston to — to try to find out how they secured Galveston. Well, Galveston is just like Fort Detrick. They research, develop, test, uh — every type of hideous biological warfare weapon you can possibly imagine. But since it's not Fort Detrick it looks better to go to Galveston than to Fort Detrick. That would give it all away.

So, y'know, there we are. It's — existentially dangerous, it has nanotechnology, it's been aerosolized, it has DNA — uh, it has HIV DNA genetically engineered right into it, and it's been subjected to gain-of-function enhancement at the University of North Carolina BSL3 to make it more lethal, and more infectious. So basically China under Pres. Shi wanted to play with the big boys. He wanted his own Fort Detrick like we had our own Fort Detrick. And if you read my *Biowarfare And Terrorism*, that's 2003, I said "Look, right now going on all over the world is an **offensive biological warfare weapons race** and we can expect other countries to respond in kind."

And that's — that's what happened there. I think at the Wuhan BSL4 lab Pres. Shi who was out there — he — he was there, just decided he wanted his own Fort Detrick. And editly, I'm not saying it was delivered. But it was a leak. But it was a predictable leak. I predicted it as early as, uh, 2003, *Biowarfare*

*And Terrorism*, 2009 in *Anthrax Wars*, and again in that article of 2015, Sherwood Ross. Again I said "This is a bio catastrophe waiting to happen". And I condemned UNC in there, too. It was clear from the public record what they were doing.

REGIS TREMBLAY: Right, so, two things, Francis: I read that all of these labs, and all the people working them are in violation of the Biological Weapons Antiterrorism Act of 1989. Is that correct?

PROF. FRANCIS BOYLE: Well, presumptively, we might say yes, but of course, I was hired here to teach criminal law. And it is also — you — you just, for a crime you need both an *actus reus* and *mens rea*. Clearly we have the *actus reus*. Yes. What their *mens rea* is, their mental state I can't — I don't know. They could've been lied to. Who knows what they were doing? Certainly the people in Fort Detrick know what they were doing, and certainly anyone at a BSL4 knows exactly what they're doing. As result of these people working at BSL3's, I don't know. I'm sure [Ralph] Baric at UNC knows exactly what he's doing. [Richard H.] Ebright is up to his eyeballs at the Rutgers BSL3 and also his own Waksman Institute. So, y'know, at the very top of the food chain, these people know exactly what they're doing. Lower down, y'know, grad students, lecturers, technicians, I don't know — I — y'know, but I think the — the people, y'know, the Death Scientists running the BSL3's, BSL4s — they know exactly what they're doing, right? So, again, we'd have to prove that in court beyond a reasonable doubt to the satisfaction of the jury but I think that could be done, certainly by Baric and the rest of them at the UNC BSL3 where the Chinese bat queen was working with them. She brought a recombinant DNA SARS CoViD — 2, I think, over there was going to be, with synthetic — synthetic biology. And then they applied gain-of-function technology to it. So, y'know, and — and by the way, Fort Detrick, if you read the scientific article, Fort Detrick contributed cells to the UNC BSL3. So they knew they were working hand in glove with Fort Detrick. They knew they were working on hand in glove with China's Fort Detrick. So, but you have to — you — you have to look at each individual and what he or she, y'know, thought they knew they were doing.

REGIS TREMBLAY: So it sounds to me like there's this circle between Fort Detrick, the Wuhan lab, back to the US to Fort Detrick and other places, and the University of North Carolina.

PROF. FRANCIS BOYLE: Ann Arbor too.

REGIS TREMBLAY: And Harvard University, as well.

PROF. FRANCIS BOYLE: My Alma Mater. I told you, I spent seven years at Harvard, I have three degrees, two years teaching there. Harvard was a sponsoring institution of the Wuhan BSL4. And the

REGIS TREMBLAY: Oh, my gosh

PROF. FRANCIS BOYLE: Chairman of the Chemistry Department, Prof. Lieber, was over there in Wuhan with his own lab and he specializes in nanotechnology for both chemistry and biology and he worked with Fort Detrick. So of course, y'know, Harvard has lied about this, but of course Harvard knew what he was doing over there. Sure.

REGIS TREMBLAY: Okay. So, very quickly: Are there BSL3 and 4 labs in the former Soviet republics on Russia's borders? For example I've heard Georgia, Ukraine, Kazakhstan and Armenia. And does Russia have them?

PROF. FRANCIS BOYLE: Well, in answer to your first question, yes, there — our — the United States government has surrounded Russia with BSL3's and these are all offensive biological warfare facilities. Yes —

REGIS TREMBLAY: Ohhhh!

PROF. FRANCIS BOYLE: — to wage biological warfare on Russia. I've given interviews on that to the Russian news media: there's no doubt in my mind. I suspect Russia does too. I suspect they have BSL3's and BSL4s going back to the old — the old Biopreparat program which was clearly offensive biological warfare weapons. But I, y'know, I haven't researched what they're up to these days in Russia. But I will say one key indicator here: if you'll note: President Putin has taken drastic, draconian steps to protect himself from CoViD-19. Okay? You can read that the New York Times. Why? Because his own biological warfare experts, based on their own experience, have told him: this is an existentially dangerous biological warfare weapon, and you have to protect yourself, and they have designed these means to protect Pres. Putin. Well, fine. I don't want to see Pres. Putin killed with this, I don't want to see Pres. Trump killed with this. I don't want to see any of our democratically elected leaders killed with this stuff. But Putin, obviously is acting pursuant to the advice of his top biowarfare experts. And remember, he's a KGB guy so he's pretty shrewd. He knows what the game is. Fine. More power to him. The problem I have however in Russia is this Sputnik vaccine. To be to be honest about it to you, I haven't studied the technology behind the Sputnik vaccine, but, y'know, if Putin's in there operating on the premise that CoViD-19 is an offensive biological warfare weapon with HIV in there, I don't believe any of these vaccines are going to make a hill of beans difference. And certainly in Russia then this Sputnik could be more dangerous than useless. But again I haven't studied the technology behind the Sputnik. I'm just give you my my analysis. I think that indirectly, de facto, Putin agrees with me, and I just don't see there's there's any vaccine that is going to take this out or control it and and the vaccines probably will be more dangerous than useless.

REGIS TREMBLAY: Oh my Gosh! My — my head is spinning. This is terribly disturbing. I have to ask you can anybody survive this CoViD-19, whatever you want to call it? I mean, I only have two friends who had mild symptoms and they recovered. We're getting reports here in Russia that there's an increase in the cases of CoViD-19 and people are dying from it. How — is it possible that some of us can not be subject to this?

PROF. FRANCIS BOYLE: Well, Regis, y'know, just giving you my assessment of the situation now, based on the facts I have. And I'm not trying to scaremonger anyone. I think mass social distancing, lockdowns, they all help. I think, y'know, we've tried for the last 30 years to get a vaccine for HIV. We don't have one, so since CoViD-19 has HIV in there, I don't see how any vaccine's gonna work. But we have been able to bring down the dangers of HIV with drugs and therapeutics. You know it used to be at the beginning of that HIV, the AIDS pandemic, it was considered to be a death sentence. Well, now over

years, with with drugs and therapeutics and things we've been able to to bring that down. So it is no longer a death sentence and it does seem to me we can do this with CoViD-19. We can bring it down to where HIV is today. That that's my assessment now. And I have, y'know, doctor friends, y'know, who have — I — I have respect for, who have done treatments with with HIV — sorry, with CoViD-19 patients, so I think it it's going to be up to doctors who do NOT want to give vaccines. They're — *they are* the doctors you want treating you and you want to be listening to. All the doctors giving you vaccines certainly in the United States and Britain are gonna get you killed.

REGIS TREMBLAY: Oh, my! Well, with the little time that we have left him a couple more questions. You have taken to task none other than the WHO, the CDC, Big Pharma, and countless universities across the United States. Can you clarify little bit what that's all about?

PROF. FRANCIS BOYLE: Sure. First of all, and I don't have time to go through it all here. CDC has been up to its eyeballs in Nazi biological warfare Death Science work since at least the Reagan administration that I have been able to determine and I have — I have that documentation. Indeed CDC and the American-type culture collection during the Reagan administration sent over 40 shipments of weapons specific biological agents to Saddam Hussein in Iraq in the hope and expectation that he would weaponize them and use them against Iran. So you can't believe anything coming out of the CDC on this. Likewise the National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease, Fauci — Fauci's been handling all this Nazi biowarfare Death Science work since he was appointed to handle it by Reagan. And all this gain-of-function biowarfare work has been funded by the National Institutes of Health and NIAID, Fauci's NIAID. So you can't believe and trust any of them. And indeed all this it is available in the public record — I have the documents.

Likewise you're seeing here in the United States the Food and Drug Administration giving approval to these new vaccines, two of them. It turns out that if you read the UNC BSL3 where they helped develop, research and develop CoViD-19, the Food and Drug Administration was involved *directly* in the development of CoViD-19 with the bat queen from the Wuhan BSL4, China's Fort Detrick, working directly here with our own Fort Detrick. So I think I've taken care of CDC — yeah! the WHO — WHO was a research sponsoring Institute of the Wuhan BSL4. So that would be like the WHO being a research sponsoring Institute of Fort Detrick.

So course you can't believe anything they're doing. As for Big Pharma, well, sure they been involved in these so-called vaccines against biological weapons, uh, yeah they have been. The black West African Ebola pandemic leaked out of our US BSL4 there in Kenema, Sierra Leone. Fort Detrick was involved, Tulane was involved the Harvard-MIT Broad Institute were involved, and some of the Big Pharmas were in there, as far as I can tell, administering experimental vaccines against Ebola in violation of the Nuremberg Code of Medical Experimentation, and set it all off.

You have to understand that all this technology is **dual use**, Regis. What they first do is they develop the offensive biological warfare weapon itself. Then they develop a vaccine to deal with the phenomenon of blowback. If it if it blows back on you've got a vaccine. And then they test it out. So Big Pharma's involved in all that, too.

But if you are interested, the rot, decay and and corruption of Big Pharma, not dealing [with?] the biological warfare component, there's a new book that just came out by Gerald Posner, who is a lawyer, just called Pharma. It's all in there. I mean, it — it's like 500 pages long or something. So, there it is. Well, maybe 350, I don't know. I read through it.

REGIS TREMBLAY: Francis, this is literally mind blowing. My concern is and I know that you have been blocked by all the mainstream media outlets social platforms, everything else. I'm just hoping that this particular conversation we've had will not be blocked, that it won't be deplatformed on YouTube or Social Media and —

PROF. FRANCIS BOYLE: I've already been blackballed off all of them. Sure.

REGIS TREMBLAY: Yeah.

PROF. FRANCIS BOYLE: So, Regis this is really up to you. How you gonna get get my message out there? I'm giving it to you, and now you — you've gotta disseminate it, and figure out how to get around the blacklisting and — and blackbaling, and deplatforming. I'm not a media expert I — I give interviews, so fine. So that's up to you. I throw the ball back in your court.

REGIS TREMBLAY: <laughs> Well, I'm — I'm a documentary filmmaker. I'm going to edit this, put it together, and I will do my best to get it out on as many different platforms as I can. And I know you

PROF. FRANCIS BOYLE: That's all you can do. I appreciate it. That's why I've spent so much time with you, because I know of your reputation.

REGIS TREMBLAY: Well, thank you so much. I know you have another interview coming up in just a few minutes, so take care. I wish you well and I thank you so much for this important message.

PROF. FRANCIS BOYLE: Well, thank you. Thank you, and and my thanks to you for getting this message out to the of people the world.